

ON AN OPTIMAL STOPPING PROBLEM OF AN INSIDER

ERHAN BAYRAKTAR AND ZHOU ZHOU

ABSTRACT. We consider the optimal problem $\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon, T}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{(\tau - \varepsilon^i)_+}^i \right]$, where $T > 0$ is a fixed time horizon, $(\phi_t^i)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is progressively measurable with respect to the Brownian filtration, $\varepsilon^i \in [0, T]$ is a constant, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon, T}$ is the set of stopping times that lie between a constant $\varepsilon \in [0, T]$ and T . We solve this problem by conditioning and then using the theory of reflected backward stochastic differential equations (RBSDEs). As a corollary, we provide a solution to the optimal stopping problem $\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{0, T}} \mathbb{E} B_{(\tau - \varepsilon)_+}$ recently posed by Shiryaev at the International Conference on Advanced Stochastic Optimization Problems organized by the Steklov Institute of Mathematics in September 2012.

1. MAIN RESULT

Let $T > 0$ and let $\{B_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ be a Brownian motion defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and let $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ be the natural filtration augmented by the $\mathbb{P}$ -null sets of $\mathcal{F}$. Consider the optimal stopping time problem

$$(1) \quad v = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon, T}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{(\tau - \varepsilon^i)_+}^i \right],$$

where $(\phi_t^i)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is continuous and progressively measurable, $\varepsilon^i \in [0, T]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are given constants, and $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon, T}$ is the set of stopping times that lie between a constant $\varepsilon \in [0, T]$ and T . Observe that $\tau - \varepsilon^i$ is not a stopping time with respect to $\mathbb{F}$ for $\varepsilon^i > 0$. This can be thought of a problem of an insider in which he is allowed to peek ε^i into the future for each payoff before making his stopping decision. The solution to (1) is described by the following result:

Theorem 1. Assume $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\xi_t^+)^2] < \infty$, where $\xi_t = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{(t - \varepsilon^i)_+}^i$, $0 \leq t \leq T$. Then the value defined in (1) can be calculated using a reflected backward stochastic differential equation (RBSDE). More precisely, $v = \mathbb{E}Y_\varepsilon$, for any $\varepsilon \in [0, T]$, where $(Y_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ satisfies the RBSDE

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_t \leq Y_t &= \xi_T - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s + (K_T - K_t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ \int_0^T (Y_t - \xi_t) dK_t &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

Date: January 22, 2013.

Key words and phrases. optimal stopping problem of an insider, Reflected Backward Stochastic Differential Equations (RBSDEs), conditioning.

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grants DMS 0906257, DMS 0955463, and DMS 1118673.

Moreover, there exists an optimal stopping time $\hat{\tau}$ described by

$$\hat{\tau} = \inf\{t \in [\varepsilon, T] : Y_t = \xi_t\}.$$

Proof. For any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon, T}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\xi_\tau = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\xi_\tau | \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon]] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\text{ess sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon, T}} \mathbb{E}[\xi_\tau | \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon]\right].$$

Therefore,

$$(3) \quad v = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon, T}} \mathbb{E}\xi_\tau \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\text{ess sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon, T}} \mathbb{E}[\xi_\tau | \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon]\right].$$

By Theorem 5.2 in [4] there exists a unique solution (Y, Z, K) to the RBSDE in (2). Then by Proposition 2.3 (and its proof) in [4] we have

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon, T}} \mathbb{E}\xi_\tau \geq \mathbb{E}\xi_{\hat{\tau}} = \mathbb{E}Y_{\hat{\tau}} = \mathbb{E}Y_\varepsilon = \mathbb{E}\left[\text{ess sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon, T}} \mathbb{E}[\xi_\tau | \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon]\right].$$

Along with (3) the last inequality completes the proof. $\square$

One should note that the optimal stopping problem we are considering is path dependent (i.e. not of Markovian type) and therefore one would not be able to write down a classical free boundary problem corresponding to (4) unless one is willing to consider free boundary problems in the path dependent PDE framework of [3]. This is the main reason we work with RBSDEs.

Now let's consider Shiryaev's problem:

$$(4) \quad v^{(\varepsilon)} = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{0, T}} \mathbb{E}B_{(\tau-\varepsilon)+},$$

Remark 1. Observe that for $\varepsilon > 0$, insider's value defined in (4) is strictly greater than 0 (and hence does strictly better than a stopper which does not possess the insider information):

$$v^{(\varepsilon)} \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon \wedge (T-\varepsilon)} B_t\right] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}(\varepsilon \wedge (T-\varepsilon))} > v^{(0)} = 0,$$

which shows that there is an incentive for waiting. We also have an upper bound

$$v^\varepsilon \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} B_t\right] = \sqrt{\frac{2T}{\pi}}.$$

In fact when $\varepsilon \in [T/2, T]$, v^ε can be explicitly determined as

$$v^{(\varepsilon)} = \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{0 \leq t \leq T-\varepsilon} B_t\right] = \sqrt{\frac{2(T-\varepsilon)}{\pi}}, \quad \varepsilon \in [T/2, T].$$

and we have a strict lower bound for $\varepsilon \in [0, T/2]$

$$v^{(\varepsilon)} > \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon} B_t\right] = \sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi}}, \quad \varepsilon \in [0, T/2].$$

For arbitrary values of $\varepsilon \in [0, T]$ we have the following result as a corollary of Theorem 1:

Corollary 2. *The value defined in (4) can be calculated using an RBSDE. More precisely, $v^\varepsilon = Y_0$ almost surely, where $(Y_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ satisfies the RBSDE (2) with ξ defined as $\xi_t = B_{(t-\varepsilon)^+}$, $0 \leq t \leq T$. Moreover, there exists an optimal stopping time $\hat{\tau}$ described by*

$$(5) \quad \hat{\tau} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : Y_t = B_{(t-\varepsilon)^+}\} \geq \varepsilon \wedge (T - \varepsilon).$$

Furthermore, the function $\varepsilon \rightarrow v^{(\varepsilon)}$, $\varepsilon \in [0, T]$, is a continuous function.

Proof. The continuity of $\varepsilon \rightarrow v^{(\varepsilon)}$, $\varepsilon \in [0, T]$ is a direct consequence of the stability of RBSDEs indicated by Proposition 3.6 in [4]. On the other hand, since

$$v^{(\varepsilon)} = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{0,T}} \mathbb{E}B_{(\tau-\varepsilon)^+} = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\delta,T}} \mathbb{E}B_{(\tau-\varepsilon)^+}, \quad \delta \in [0, \varepsilon],$$

from Theorem 1 we can conclude that $v^{(\varepsilon)} = \mathbb{E}Y_\delta$. The latter implies that $(Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a martingale from on $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$. It also shows that the stopping time τ_δ defined by

$$\tau_\delta = \inf\{t \in [\delta, T] : Y_t = \xi_t\},$$

is optimal for both the problem $\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{0,T}} \mathbb{E}B_{(\tau-\varepsilon)^+}$ and $\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\delta,T}} \mathbb{E}B_{(\tau-\varepsilon)^+}$, $\delta \in [0, \varepsilon]$. In fact, one can observe that for $t \in [0, \varepsilon \wedge (T - \varepsilon))$, $Y_t \geq \mathbb{E}[Y_\varepsilon | \mathcal{F}_t] > 0 = \xi_t$, a.s., which implies $dK_t = 0$, $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon \wedge (T - \varepsilon)$, a.s. (so the martingale property of (Y_t) for $t \in [0, \varepsilon \wedge (T - \varepsilon)]$ can also be concluded from this point of view). The martingale property on the other hand implies that stopping time defined in (5) is no less than $\varepsilon \wedge (T - \varepsilon)$ almost surely. $\square$

Optimal stopping problems of the type

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{0,T}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \varphi_{\tau+\delta^j}^j \right],$$

where φ^j 's and progressively measurable $\delta^j > 0$'s are constants, were considered by [1] and [2]. A generalization combining these type of pay-offs with the one in (1) will be left for future work.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. BAYRAKTAR AND M. EGAMI, *The effects of implementation delay on decision-making under uncertainty*, Stochastic Process. Appl., 117 (2007), pp. 333–358.
- [2] B. BRUDER AND H. PHAM, *Impulse control problem on finite horizon with execution delay*, Stochastic Process. Appl., 119 (2009), pp. 1436–1469.
- [3] I. EKREN, C. KELLER, N. TOUZI, AND J. ZHANG, *On Viscosity Solutions of Path Dependent PDEs*, ArXiv e-prints, (2011). To appear in the Annals of Probability.
- [4] N. EL KAROUI, C. KAPOUDJIAN, E. PARDOUX, S. PENG, AND M. C. QUENEZ, *Reflected solutions of backward SDE's, and related obstacle problems for PDE's*, Ann. Probab., 25 (1997), pp. 702–737.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

E-mail address: erhan@umich.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

E-mail address: zhouzhou@umich.edu